

3147  
**Kolva, Patti**

RECEIVED  
IRRC

**From:** Donna <donnacar2@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, May 07, 2016 9:32 PM  
**To:** Findley, Cynthia  
**Subject:** FW: proposed regulatory changes

2016 MAY 11 PM 1:28

Dear Ms. Findley,

As a parent who has done a lengthy study of the vaccine issue, I am writing to oppose the proposed changes which will only result in further unsafe practices in giving vaccinations to our children.

My daughter had an extreme negative reaction to three vaccines given at one time when she was four years old. The pediatrician never included this information in my daughter's records. I did not find this out until I changed doctors and needed her records copied. I was intimidated into giving the multiple vaccines by a heavy handed PA who basically used a 'shame' tactic. Since then my daughter has not received any vaccines. My husband and I are waiting for a time when effort is put into place to make vaccines safer and the debate surrounding vaccines is more honest.

---

There are so many reasons to oppose this proposal; I will list some of them.

1..The CDC recently reported that the autism rate is now 1 in 45 according to UPI report. In the 1980's the rate was 1 in 10,000. The rise in autism correlates with the increased number of vaccines children are now required to take. Surely, it is not the only cause for the rise, but one that needs to be addressed before we continue to force vaccinations on healthy children. Many is the story we have read of Moms who brought their perfectly healthy child to the pediatrician and after the child was given multiple vaccinations at one time, they regress and are never the same. The stories are gut wrenching to read. Mom after Mom relates they know the exact day their child developed autistic behavior. They are told it is "coincidental" and then are forced to fight for their rights in vaccine court. The Vaccine Court has paid approximately \$3.3 Billion over the life of the program to the families whose children were injured by a vaccine. Indeed, there is massive under reporting of adverse vaccine events because many parents do not realize the ensuing sickness could be related directly to the vaccine recently received. If the vaccines have been proven to be safe and effective, why has the "Vaccine Industry" been protected from liability and lawsuits? If they are deemed to be "extraordinarily safe" by a leading proponent of vaccine in the Philadelphia medical community, why are they shielded from lawsuits? This same doctor has also stated he believes that a child's "immune system could theoretically handle 10,000 vaccines at one time"

Can any professional in their right mind actually believe such a statement?!

2.It is without merit to believe that unvaccinated persons pose a threat to others. Review of the literature shows that unvaccinated persons are generally healthier- they have a good

immune system which has not been assaulted by numerous toxins found in vaccines. It is not normal for children who should be healthy and robust to now be afflicted with : Asthma, Atopy, Allergy (often life threatening), Autoimmunity, Autism, Learning Disorders, Communication Disorders, Developmental disorders, Intellectual disability, Attention Deficit Disorder, Disruptive behavior disorder, Tics and Tourette's syndrome, Seizures, Febrile seizures and Epilepsy and Diabetes. Prior to the onset of the massive numbers of vaccinations being given to children, these diseases were negligible in children. These are events which "scream for a response". The response on the part of our department of health should not be to add to the misery of children and their parents by increasing the mandate for more vaccinations!

3. Medical "experts" disagree over vaccine safety and effectiveness. Many of the studies done are epidemiological in nature, where the data can be manipulated to show whatever one wishes to show. Very few people would now believe that pharmaceutical companies are honest and ethical and have the best interest of the public at heart. We know the opposite to be true. No one should ever be required to accept their products when they are known to engage in criminal practice (can we forget Vioxx?). It is also been proven without a doubt that the CDC has close ties to the companies who make vaccines. Indeed, the newsletter VACCINATE ADULTS published by Immunization Action Coalition heavily condones and promotes increasing vaccination rates among adults. (October, 2007- Volume 11-Number 2) and thanks their major supporters and appreciate their generosity-- eight drug companies were listed along with the American Pharmacists Association. The CDC is their PRIMARY supporter. (see back cover). How can anyone ever think that the CDC -Center for DISEASE CONTROL is truly in the business of controlling diseases, and most especially controlling them for our precious children.

It is now left to the parents to be the voice and protector of their own children. Most of parents who are thinking deeply about the whole issue of vaccine safety and effectiveness are educated and well informed- many are professionals, doctors, nurses and business people. They are not as the media and vaccination proponents would have us to think of them as kooks and ignorant. It is these same concerned parents, grandparents, siblings, aunts and uncles who are striving to have the right to make a truly informed choice about what is injected into their child's body which has the risk of permanent injury and death. The government has nothing less than a moral and ethical imperative to provide that right.

The government and the medical and pharmaceutical industries should abide by the precautionary principle and FIRST, DO NO HARM.

Our children are being harmed, families are devastated, futures destroyed. If we even think for a moment that this could be happening due to multiple vaccinations they receive, we must stop and indeed NOT mandate more vaccinations!

Thank you,  
Donna Cregar